

UNITED STATED DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/50/622	09/11/003	Mills	-
09/678730	09/50/62/		
09/009837	09/225687	L_	EXAMINER
09/110678	10/076590		W, A. Lange
	09/813792	L.	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
		l	754
09/009455			ΓE MAILED:
09/669877	IMIEKAI	EW SUMMARY	
Il participants (applicant, applican	it's representative, PTO personnel		1. 1. 0. 1
1) Mr. Me	lcher	(3) Examin	er Kalafut
2) Examine	er Langel	(4) Dr. Mi	115
Date of Interview	1-03	(5) Mr. Sim	enauer
	o Conference Marroanal (con-	is given to applicant App	
		/	ilicant s representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration co	nducted: Yes Do If yes, t	orief description:	
Agreement was reached. Claim(s) discussed: dentification of prior art discussed Description of the general nature of the general	none	ent was reached, or any other com novel hydroge 2 He also go talistic Rome	ments: Dr. mill en, as well as we the parter
Det I	1 - 1000 (0)	La conf	Con of the state of the
I or would ?	ian may	rouce from	V you (Conferm
A fuller description, if necessary, a sust be attached. Also, where no ttached.)	and a copy of the amendments, if a copy of the amendments which wo	available, which the examiner agre ould render the claims allowable is	ed would render the claims allowable available, a summary thereof must be
☐ It is not necessary for application	ant to provide a separate record of	the substance of the interview.	
S NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCL	UDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE	trary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section I FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE:	LY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION 713.04). If a reply to the last Office TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

FORM **PTOL-413** (REV. 2-98)

SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

page 1 of 4

Mayne A. Jangel



UNITED STATED DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/	50/622		Mi/15	
	etc		-	
			L	EXAMINER 1
			-	W.A. Lange
			L	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
				1754
		ルマニング		ATE MAILED:
		114 I EM 4 I	ew Summary	
All partici	ipants (applicant, applican	t's representative, PTO personnel):	1 .
(1) ;	Stagley Si	verman	_ (3) <i> eA</i>	214
(2)	Pat Rya	7	(4) Mr.	Brewer
Date of I	nterview		_(5) £ xami	ner Wayner
Туре:	Telephonic Televide	o Conference Personal (copy	/ is given to □ applicant □ a	applicant's representative).
		nducted: Yes No If yes, t		•
		·	one cocompaint.	
Agraoma	ent 🗆 was reached. 🗀 v	was not reached	,	
		vas not reached.		
	discussed:			
Identifica	tion of prior art discussed			
			.,,	
Description	on of the general nature o	f what was agreed to if an agreem	ent was reached, or any other co	omments: Cant from
e/,) Mono	2) //atronal	Taloutor	, which is
ach	ed pereto	I Lee also	- the Mi	tachment.
-			7 00 00	
-				
(A fuller of must be a attached.	attached. Also, where no	and a copy of the amendments, if a copy of the amendments which we	available, which the examiner agould render the claims allowable	greed would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be
☐ It is	s not necessary for applica	ant to provide a separate record of	f the substance of the interview.	
IS NOT V action ha	WAIVED AND MUST INCL	.UDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE PLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH	INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section	EPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION on 713.04). If a reply to the last Office E TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE
Examine	r Note: You must sign this	form unless it is an attachment to	another form	

FORM **PTOL-413** (REV. 2-98)

mage 2 of 4

Wayne a Janjel

Applicant respected that the following points discussed at the Interview held m February 11, 2003 be unduded as an Attachment to the Interior Sunnay Form. Applicant's coursel and the Examera in attendance at the Interview agreed to meet again at a future date, either in genon or ky telephone, to contine discussions regarding the patentsetity of Applicant's pending potent applications. Specifically, the Examers expensed concer that the Appliants experimental evidence be conveniente with the supe of the claims. To oddren that concern, Appliants connel agreed with the Exomers To go though the patent claim - by clair with the Examers and demonstrate low the scientif data supports there claims gray 3 of 4 Wayno 9 Langel

for thing claims that are supported by the data, the PTO agrees to bowe those claims. For those claims that the PTO determines are not supported by the data, Applicant will continue to seek that broder claim soverage in pubsequent proceedings.

Wagne a Langel

sage 4 of 4